

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**  
**SHREVEPORT DIVISION**

<b>PAUL COMADOR JACKSON</b>	<b>CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-2083-P</b>
<b>VERSUS</b>	<b>JUDGE HICKS</b>
<b>LARRY C. DEEN, ET AL.</b>	<b>MAGISTRATE JUDGE HORNSBY</b>

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION<sup>1</sup>**

In accordance with the standing order of this Court, this matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for review, report and recommendation.

**STATEMENT OF CLAIM**

Before the Court is a civil rights complaint filed in forma pauperis by pro se plaintiff Paul Comador Jackson ("Plaintiff"), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This complaint was received and filed in this Court on December 2, 2005. Plaintiff is currently incarcerated at the Allen Correctional Center. He complains that his civil rights were violated by prison officials while incarcerated at the Bossier Sheriff Correctional Facility in Plain Dealing, Louisiana. He names Larry C. Deen, Tom Rucker, Kenneth Weaver, Richard Stalder, Charles Gray, Jr. and Deputy Bowles as defendants.

Plaintiff was ordered on August 8, 2006, to file, within 30 days of the service of the order, an amended complaint. (Doc. 7). To date, Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.

---

<sup>1</sup>This decision is not intended for commercial print or electronic publication.

Accordingly;

**IT IS RECOMMENDED** that this complaint be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, sua sponte, for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as interpreted by the Court and under the Court's inherent power to control its own docket. See Link v. Wabash Railroad Company, 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386 (1962); Rogers v. Kroger Company, 669 F.2d 317, 320-321 (5th Cir. 1983).

### **OBJECTIONS**

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), parties aggrieved by this recommendation have ten (10) business days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court, unless an extension of time is granted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). A party may respond to another party's objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy thereof. Counsel are directed to furnish a courtesy copy of any objections or responses to the District Judge at the time of filing.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation set forth above, within ten (10) days after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the proposed factual findings and legal conclusions that were accepted by the district court and that were not objected to by the aforementioned party. See Douglas v. U.S.A.A., 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

THUS DONE AND SIGNED at Shreveport, Louisiana, this 12th day of  
December, 2006.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MARK L. HORNSBY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE